CARIC

I December 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR: Staff Director, NIRB

SUBJECT

Coordination of NIRB/NIPE/CCFC Activities

- 1. Three inter-related data collection projects are now underway or behalf of NIRB and/or DCI (USIB):
 - (a) I understand NIRB is to be the focal point for the input consists from the NSC Staff, and these will then be assigned to such groups as the CCPC, et. al. for writing the reports which will thereupon flow back through NIRB.
 - (b) NIRB is also now engaged in attempting to persuade Mr. From the and the DoD Program Managers, such as Admiral Gayler, to contribute to a community-wide CIRIS data base, whose principal characteristic is to a uniformity of reporting, i.e., the use of a common vocabulary to expense intelligence resources, activities and targets.

- 3. There is now a very real danger that NIRB/NIPE/CCPC will be subject to well-deserved criticism from the Program Managers, if uncoordinated data calls are levied in support of these three activities, and if the terminology used to identify activities and to express functions and targets is non-uniform. Not only does such a situation produce data that cannot be related in a larger context to the programs of the community, but this lack of internal coordination of our own staff will not go uncommented upon by the Director, NSA and others who seek to limit the DCI's right to program and resource data. The added effort imposed on program managers to provide data will also be pointed out.
- 4. The undertaking to turn out NSSM studies appears to be both sizeable and of continuing duration. Although short deadlines on some of the initial studies may necessitate that they be handled on an ad hoc basis as far as dat collection is concerned, it is desirable that there be uniformity in the approach to the analysis and the manner of describing and measuring the intelligence activities and resources. Eventually, it is to be hoped that the CLICS information base can serve as the initial source of data for the NSSMs, doing away with the necessity for ad hoc data calls.

SECRET

25X1

5. My conclusions are:

- (a) These three activities should be coordinated, interrelief and made consistent with one another. This should be done now, proper to the CCPC (or any other group with NIRB assignment) going out with calls for data on the NSSM studies. This requires that the NIRB/IDER staff, and any others who can make a substantive contribution (such as the people in charge of the CCPC efforts) should now engage in a region of our methodology and procedures to agree on what we want to obtain by way of specific data and the terminology in which this is to be ported, and to agree on the procedures for obtaining this information in a way that will be most tolerable to the Program Managers the most
- (b) It should be recognized that CCPC studies, the CIRIS data calls and the Facilities Inventory data calls all must track togeth and we should have no cases where widely-different resource information as reported to CIRIS than is reported to the CCPC in a country study, such as has occurred in the case of Cuba, which has documented.
- (c) An investment at the outset in organizing ourselves and egree-ing on our terminology and methodology will be time-saving in the larger run as well as a means to protect us against justifiable charges from the outside of lack of internal coordination.
- (d) As a part of our effort to give better definition to the component parts of our problem, we should look closely at the interrelation of the CIRIS and the Facilities Inventory. We know now that clandestine activities are not reported or clearly identicable in either data base. We should address the question as to whether these two data bases should be combined, with a single data call, or whether it may be more suitable to retain two distinct data bases and two distinct data calls. In this latter event, we should consider whether certain information can be obtained better through CIRIS or through the Facilities Inventory, and whether the existing division between the two is the most effective. If we do nothing else, we should insure that the terminology used in both is synonymous -- e.g., in the listing of sensors, in the definitions of costs, etc.
- (e) The CCPC effort should be looked on as a major vehicle for the accomplishment of NTRB tasking. As such, it is important that the CCPC terminology contribute to and be consistent with a broader NIRB/NTPB effort to establish the common vocabulary for use by the CCPC and any other groups engaged in identifying and analyzing community resources, and for use in the CIRIS and the Facilities Inventory.
- (f) If a more orderly means of shredding out and totalling up resources is not provided by NIRB/NIPE/CCPC for the NSC Staff, the likelihood is that the latter will attempt to do this for itself. The necessity to rewrite paper illustrates this problem. Furthermore, if

25X1

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2006/11/07 : CJACRDP86M00612R000100060008-0

the community, in the person of NIRB, this failure can stimulate the NSC Sta Program Managers, thus diluting the Do	aff to deal directly with the
management.	
	A/D/DCI/NIPE

cc: Mr. Bross General Thomas

Note: The next NIRB meeting earound 15 December. Shouldn't we sort this out by then?

-3-

25**X**1

25X1